

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/755,437 01/05/2001 Richard L. McCreery OSUI 159-141 5136 EXAMINER 8698 7590 02/16/2006 STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017 1773

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/755,437	MCCREERY, RICHAI	RD L.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this comm (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	November 2005.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,12-18,44 and 47-67 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,12-18,44 and 47-67 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 January 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected are drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/2005. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		2)

Application/Control Number: 09/755,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 8, 9, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection. No support can be found in the disclosure as originally filed to indicate that the inventors had possession of the concept of a monolithic substrate in general as the substrate to which the monolayer is attached. It is noted that support can be found for one particular monolithic substrate (i.e. conductive carbon in paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6). The written description requirement for a claimed genus (in this case, a monolithic substrate) may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species (see MPEP 2163.05 [R-2]). However, the disclosure of conductive carbon does not constitute a representative number of species for the claimed genus because they represent only one species and do not reflect the variation within the broad genus that is any monolithic substrate.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1773

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 56 is rendered indefinite because it depends from a canceled claim. For the purpose of examination, claim 56 is taken to depend from claim 55.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 8, 9, 12-18, 44, and 47-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7-11, 16, 22-30, and 56-58 of U.S. Patent No. 6,855,950. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the inventions of instant claims 8, 9, 12-18, 44, and 47-67 represent a genus of which the inventions described by claims 1, 7-11, 16, 22-30, and 56-58 of U.S. Patent No. 6,855,950 are species. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The instant monolayer material is generic to monolayer construction of

Application/Control Number: 09/755,437

Art Unit: 1773

U.S. Patent No. 6,855,950. Therefore, 1, 7-11, 16, 22-30, and 56-58 of U.S. Patent No. 6,855,950 represent a species of instant claims 8, 9, 12-18, 44, and 47-67.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The double patenting rejection is maintained because the terminal disclaimer referred to on page 11 of the response filed 22 November 2005 is not present in the file.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1773

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached at (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey Zacharia Primary Examiner